

# Idempotent Generated Endomorphisms of an Independence Algebra

João Araújo

February 1, 2011

## Abstract

The aim of this note is to give a direct proof for the following result proved by Fountain and Lewin: *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an independence algebra of finite rank and let  $a$  be a singular endomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $a = e_1 \dots e_n$  where  $e_i^2 = e_i$  and  $\text{rank}(a) = \text{rank}(e_i)$ .*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 20M10.

We assume the reader to have a basic knowledge of independence algebras. As reference we suggest [3]. Throughout this note  $\mathcal{A}$  will be an independence algebra of finite rank ( $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$ ) and with universe  $A$ . By  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  we will denote, respectively, the monoid of endomorphisms and of partial endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  will be the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover,  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$  is the monoid of singular endomorphisms and will be denoted by  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{A})$ . For  $a \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  and  $X \subseteq A$ , denote by  $\Delta(a), \nabla(a)$ , respectively, the domain and the image of  $a$ , and denote by  $a|X$  the restriction of  $a$  to  $X$ . The following proof is inspired by [1] and goes as follows:

- (1) for every  $a \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  and a partial monomorphism  $a'$  such that  $a = ea'$  (with  $\nabla(e) = \langle E \rangle = \Delta a'$  and  $\nabla(a') = \langle Ea \rangle$ , for some independent set  $E$ );
- (2) there exist idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\text{rank}(e_i) = \text{rank}(a)$  and  $(E)e_1 \dots e_n = Ea$ ;
- (3) for every  $f \in \text{Sym}(Ea)$  (the symmetric group on  $Ea$ ), there exist idempotents  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\text{rank}(f_i) = \text{rank}(a)$  and  $f = (f_1 \dots f_m)|Ea$ ;
- (4) finally, using (2),  $a'|E : E \rightarrow Ea$  can be factorized as  $a'|E = (e_1 \dots e_n f)|E$ , with  $f \in \text{Sym}(Ea)$ , and hence, by (3), we have  $a'|E = (e_1 \dots e_n f_1 \dots f_m)|E$ , so that  $a = ea = ea' = ee_1 \dots e_n f_1 \dots f_m$ .

We start by proving (1). Let  $a \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  is regular there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $ea = a$  (and  $\text{rank}(e) = \text{rank}(a)$ ). Moreover, if  $E$  is a basis for  $\nabla(e)$ , we have

$$|Ea| \leq |E| = \text{rank}(e) = \text{rank}(a) = \text{rank}(ea) = \text{rank}(\langle E \rangle a) = \text{rank}(\langle Ea \rangle) \leq |Ea|.$$

Therefore  $\text{rank}(\langle Ea \rangle) = |Ea|$  and hence  $Ea$  is independent. Since  $|E| = |Ea|$  and  $Ea$  is independent, then  $a|E$  is 1–1 and  $a|\langle E \rangle$  is a monomorphism. It is proved that  $a = e(a|\langle E \rangle)$  and (1) of the scheme above follows.

For the remains of this note  $a$ ,  $e$ ,  $E$  and  $Ea$  are the objects introduced above and are fixed. We now prove (2). Let  $l = \text{rank}(a)$  and let  $K_l = \{B \subseteq A \mid |B| = l \text{ and } B \text{ is independent}\}$ . Consider in  $K_l$  the following relation:  $(B_1, B_2) \in \rho$  if and only if  $|B_1 \setminus B_2| \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 1** *For some natural number  $n \geq 0$  we have  $(E, Ea) \in \rho^n$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\uparrow E = \{C \in K_l \mid (E, C) \in \rho^m, \text{ for some natural } m\}$ . Now let  $C \in \uparrow E$  such that for all  $D \in \uparrow E$  we have  $|C \cap Ea| \geq |D \cap Ea|$ . We claim that  $C = Ea$ . In fact, if by contradiction  $C \neq Ea$ , then there exists  $d \in C \setminus Ea$  (as  $|C| = |Ea|$ ). Therefore, for some  $c \in Ea$ , we have  $c \notin \langle C \setminus \{d\} \rangle$  (since we cannot have  $Ea \subseteq \langle C \setminus \{d\} \rangle$  as  $\text{rank}(\langle C \setminus \{d\} \rangle) < \text{rank}(\langle Ea \rangle)$ ). Thus  $C_0 = (C \setminus \{d\}) \cup \{c\} \in K_l$  and  $(C, C_0) \in \rho$ . Since  $(E, C) \in \rho^k$  and  $(C, C_0) \in \rho$  it follows that  $(E, C_0) \in \rho^{k+1}$  so that  $C_0 \in \uparrow E$  and  $|C_0 \cap Ea| > |C \cap Ea|$ , a contradiction. It is proved that  $C = Ea$  and hence  $Ea \in \uparrow E$ . ■

We observe that the key fact used in the proof of this lemma is a well known property of matroids (see [4], Exercise 1., p.15).

Now let  $E = E_1 \rho E_2 \rho \dots \rho E_{n-1} \rho E_n = Ea$ . We claim that, for every  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , there exist two idempotents  $e_{i,1}, e_{i,2} \in P\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $(E_i)e_{i,1}e_{i,2} = E_{i+1}$ . In fact let  $D = E_i \cap E_{i+1}$  so that  $E_i = D \cup \{x\}$  and  $E_{i+1} = D \cup \{y\}$ . Then either  $y \notin \langle D \cup \{x\} \rangle$  or  $y \in \langle D \cup \{x\} \rangle$ .

In the first case,  $D' = D \cup \{x, y\}$  is independent. Thus we can define a mapping  $f : D' \rightarrow D \cup \{y\}$  by  $xf = yf = y$  and  $df = d$ , for all  $d \in D$ . This mapping  $f$  induces a partial idempotent endomorphism  $e_{i,1} : \langle D' \rangle \rightarrow \langle D \cup \{y\} \rangle$  such that  $(E_i)e_{i,1} = (D \cup \{x\})e_{i,1} = D \cup \{y\} = E_{i+1}$ . (Let  $e_{i,2} = e_{i,1}$ ).

In the second case,  $\text{rank}(\langle D \cup \{x, y\} \rangle) = \text{rank}(\langle D \cup \{x\} \rangle) < \text{rank}(\mathcal{A})$  and hence there exists  $z \notin \langle D \cup \{x, y\} \rangle$ . Therefore  $D \cup \{x, z\}$  and  $D \cup \{y, z\}$  are independent sets and hence there exist two idempotents  $e_{i,1}, e_{i,2} \in P\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $e_{i,1}|D = id_D = e_{i,2}|D$ ,  $xe_{i,1} = ze_{i,1} = z$  and  $ye_{i,2} = ze_{i,2} = y$ . It is obvious that  $(D \cup \{x\})e_{i,1}e_{i,2} = D \cup \{y\}$  and hence  $(E_i)e_{i,1}e_{i,2} = E_{i+1}$ . We have constructed idempotents  $e_{1,1}, e_{1,2}, \dots, e_{n-1,1}, e_{n-1,2} \in P\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $(E)e_{1,1}e_{1,2} \dots e_{n-1,1}e_{n-1,2} = Ea$  and hence (2) is proved.

**Observation 2** *Observe that in both cases considered above, and for all  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ , we have  $\nabla(e_{i,1}) \leq \Delta(e_{i,2})$  and  $\nabla(e_{i,1}e_{i,2}) \leq \Delta(e_{i+1,1})$ .*

**Lemma 3** *Let  $\text{Sym}(Ea)$  be the symmetric group on  $Ea$  and let  $(xy) \in \text{Sym}(Ea)$  be a transposition. Then there exist idempotents  $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in P\text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $f = (f_1 f_2 f_3)|Ea$  and  $\text{rank}(f_i) = \text{rank}(a)$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $|Ea| < \text{rank}(\mathcal{A})$  there exists  $z \notin \langle Ea \rangle$  and hence  $Ea \cup \{z\}$  is independent. Let  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  be idempotents of domain  $\langle Ea \cup \{z\} \rangle$  defined as follows:  $xf_1 = z = zf_1$  and  $uf_1 = u$ , for the remaining elements of  $Ea$ ;  $xf_2 = x = yf_2$  and  $uf_2 = u$ , for the remaining elements of  $Ea$ ;  $zf_3 = y = yf_3$  and  $uf_3 = u$ , for the remaining elements of  $Ea$ . Hence  $(x)f_1 f_2 f_3 = (z)f_2 f_3 = (z)f_3 = y$  and  $(y)f_1 f_2 f_3 = (y)f_2 f_3 = (x)f_3 = x$ . Moreover, for all  $b \in Ea \setminus \{x, y\}$ ,  $(b)f_1 f_2 f_3 = b$ . It is proved that  $(f_1 f_2 f_3)|Ea = (xy)$  and it is clear that  $\text{rank}(f_i) = \text{rank}(a)$ . ■

**Lemma 4** *Let  $f \in \text{Sym}(Ea)$ . Then there exist idempotents  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $f = (f_1 \dots f_m)|Ea$  and  $\text{rank}(f_i) = \text{rank}(a)$ .*

**Proof:** Clearly  $(Ea)f = (Ea)(x_1y_1) \dots (x_my_m)$ , since every permutation of a finite set can be decomposed in to a product of transpositions. Now the result follows by repeated application of the previous lemma. ■

**Observation 5** *Observe that, for all the partial idempotents  $f_i$  considered in the proof of the two previous lemmas, we have  $\Delta(f_i) = \langle Ea \cup \{z\} \rangle$  and  $\nabla(f_i) = \langle Ea \rangle$ . Thus  $\nabla(f_i) < \nabla(f_{i+1})$ .*

**Lemma 6** *Let  $i_0, i_1 \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  and suppose that  $\nabla(i_0) \leq \Delta(i_1)$ . Then there exist  $\beta_0, \beta_1 \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $(\beta_0\beta_1)|\Delta(i_0) = i_0i_1$ ,  $\text{rank}(\beta_j) = \text{rank}(e_j)$  and if  $i_j$  is idempotent, then  $\beta_j$  is idempotent ( $j \in \{0, 1\}$ ).*

**Proof:** Let  $i_0 : \langle B_0 \rangle \rightarrow \langle C_0 \rangle$  be a partial endomorphism ( $B_0, C_0$  are independent sets). Then we can extend  $B_0, C_0$ , respectively, to  $B, C$ , bases of  $\mathcal{A}$  and define  $\beta_0 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\beta_0|B_0 = i_0$  and  $(B \setminus B_0)\beta_0 = \{c\} \subseteq C_0$ . In the same way we extend  $i_1$  to  $\beta_1 \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$ . Therefore  $\beta_0|\Delta(i_0) = i_0$  and  $\nabla(\beta_0) = \nabla(i_0)$ . Thus  $(\beta_0i_1)|\Delta(i_0) = i_0i_1$  and  $(\beta_0\beta_1)|\Delta(i_0) = i_0i_1$ . ■

**Theorem 7** *(Fountain and Lewin [2]) Every  $a \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A})$  is the product of idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\text{rank}(a) = \text{rank}(e_i)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $a \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{A})$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $e$  (with  $\nabla(e) = \langle E \rangle$ ) and a partial monomorphism  $a' = a|\langle E \rangle$  such that  $a = ea'$ . Moreover  $a'$  maps the basis  $E$  of  $\nabla(e)$  into a basis  $Ea$  of  $\nabla(a)$ . We proved that for some idempotents  $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  we have  $(E)e_1 \dots e_k = Ea$ . Let  $h = e_1 \dots e_k$  and consider  $f \in \text{Sym}(Ea)$  defined by  $(xh)f = xa$ , for all  $x \in E$ . It is obvious that  $(e_1 \dots e_k f)|E = a|E$  and hence  $(e_1 \dots e_k \phi)|\langle E \rangle = a|\langle E \rangle = a'$ , where  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\langle Ea \rangle)$  is (the automorphism) induced by  $f$ . Therefore  $ee_1 \dots e_k \phi = ea' = a$ . It is also proved that for some idempotents  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \text{PEnd}(\mathcal{A})$  we have  $f = (f_1 \dots f_n)|Ea$ . Thus  $\phi = (f_1 \dots f_n)|\langle Ea \rangle$  and hence

$$a = ea' = ee_1 \dots e_k \phi = ee_1 \dots e_k (f_1 \dots f_n)|\langle Ea \rangle = ee_1 \dots e_k f_1 \dots f_n$$

(since  $\nabla(ee_1 \dots e_k) = \langle Ea \rangle$ ). To finish the proof we only need to show that the idempotent partial endomorphisms used above can be replaced by idempotent total endomorphisms. This follows from Observations 2 and 5 and the previous lemma. ■

*Acknowledgments: I wish to express my deepest gratitude to the referee for suggesting many improvements to this note and also to Professor Gracinda Gomes.*

## References

- [1] J. Araújo, On Idempotent Generated Semigroups, Semigroup Forum, **65** (2002), 138-140.

- [2] J. Fountain, A. Lewin, *Products of idempotent endomorphisms of an independence algebra of finite rank*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **35** (1992), 493–500.
- [3] V. A. R. Gould, *Independence algebras*, Algebra Universalis **33** (1995), 327–329.
- [4] J. G. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, (1992).

Universidade Aberta  
R. Escola Politécnica, 147  
1269-001 Lisboa Portugal

Centro de Álgebra  
Universidade de Lisboa  
1649-003 Lisboa Portugal  
mjoao@lmc.fc.ul.pt